

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING DATE           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/488,297               | 01/20/2000            | Michael A. Keresman III | PRA 2 0001              | 4241            |
| 7590 04/07/2004          |                       |                         | EXAMINER                |                 |
| John P Cornely           |                       |                         | SNAPP, SANDRA S         |                 |
| Fay Sharpe Fag           | an Minnich & McKee LL | P                       |                         |                 |
| Seventh Floor            |                       |                         | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| 1100 Superior Avenue     |                       |                         | 3624                    |                 |
| Cleveland, OH 44114-2518 |                       |                         | DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary   |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   |   | 09/488,297  | KERESMAN III ET AL.  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   |   | Sandra Snapp  | 3624   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  | •   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 D</u>   | December 2003 and 12 January 20   | 004.   |  |  |  |
| ·   |   | s action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)  | ,—  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposit  | ion of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)🖂   | Claim(s) <u>23-40</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| •   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5)  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>23-40</u> is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7)  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8)□   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicat  | ion Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9)□   | The specification is objected to by the Examine   | er.   |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority (  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |
| a)  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | ts have been received.<br>ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive<br>u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   | t(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:   |   |   |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3624

### Response to Amendment

### **Drawings**

The drawings submitted with the Amendment of 12-4-3 are accepted as being in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.581.

## Previous Claim Objections

The claim objections of the previous office action are rendered moot due to the cancellation of claims 1-22 in the Amendment filed 12-4-3.

### Previous Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The rejection of claims 1-3, 6, 9-12, 16 and 35 is rendered moot in view of the amendment filed 12-4-3 wherein claims 1-22 were canceled and claim 35 was amended to depend from claim 32.

# Previous Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The rejection of claims, 4, 5, 7, 8, 13-15, 17-22, 29, 30 and 34 is rendered moot because of the cancellation of claims 1-22 in the amendment filed 12-4-3 and the dependency changes of claims 29, 20 and 34.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 3624

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 23-28, 31-34 and 36-40 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Shavit et al. patent (US 4,799,156).

The Shavit patent discloses:

A method of processing transaction carried out over a network between account holders and participating entities, the method comprising:

Receiving a purchase request of a buyer from a participating entity indicating that the buyer desires to carry out a transaction with the entity, the transaction including the buyer purchasing one or more selected items (col. 6, lines 36-39), authenticating the buyer as an account holder (col. 9, line 60 through col. 10, line 15), establishing transaction fulfillment data, the transaction fulfillment data indicating a delivery destination for the selected items (col. 14, lines 28-33), wherein establishing the transaction fulfillment data includes using a previously obtained destination as the delivery destination for the selected items when an alternate destination is not obtained (col. 6, lines 52-68), communicating the transaction fulfillment data to the participating entity (col. 14, lines 10-27), receiving transaction details from the participating entity, the transaction details including a cost for the selected items (col. 13, lines 35-50), authorizing completion of the transaction and establishing an authorization code therefor (col.

Art Unit: 3624

13, lines 35-50), and communicating the authorization code for the transaction to the participating entity (col. 13, lines 35-50), (claims 23, 25, 26, 31 and 32);

Obtaining an alternate destination from the buyer (col. 6, lines 52-68), the alternate destination being different from the previously obtained destination (col. 6, lines 52-68), transmitting a security question to the buyer (col. 10, lines 1-45), receiving a response to the security question from the buyer (col. 10, lines 1-45), and using the alternate destination as the delivery destination for the selected items when the response to the security question is accurate (col. 10, lines 1-45) (claim 24);

obtaining restriction instructions from account holders (col. 6, lines 52-68) (claim 25 and see above);

wherein the delivery destination is a non-identifying destination such that anonymity of the buyer is maintained with respect to the participating entity (col. 6, lines 52-68) (claim 26 and see above);

The restriction instructions block authorizing the completion of transactions with participating entities identified in the restriction instructions (col. 6, lines 52-68) (claim 27);

The restriction instructions block authorizing the completion of recurring transactions which are not separately participated in by the account holder from whom the restriction instructions were obtained (col. 6, lines 52-68) (claim 28);

transmitting the transaction details of the authenticated account holder directly to a funding source which determines if the account holder has one of sufficient funds on deposit with the funding source or sufficient credit available through the funding source to cover the cost of the purchases (col. 26, line 29 through col. 27, line 30) (claim 31 and see above);

Art Unit: 3624

receiving transaction details including one or more terms for the purchasing the selected items (col. 13, lines 35-50), and obtaining settlement information from the participating entity, the settlement information including the authorization code and transaction details for the completed transaction (col. 13, lines 35-50) (claim 32 and see above);

obtaining the settlement information includes automatically capturing the settlement information form the participating entity upon an indication of delivery of the selected item (col. 13, lines 35-50) (claim 33);

the step of authenticating precedes the step of receiving a request indicating a desire to carry out a transaction (col. 10, lines 1-45) (claim 34); and

the transactions are at least partially carried out over a public network (col. 5, lines 15-38) (claims 36-40).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Shavit patent, as stated above, in view of the Sandber-Diment patent (US 5,826,245).

The Shavit patent does not disclose:

Art Unit: 3624

The authorizing completion of the transaction includes comparing a cost of the selected items to a threshold such that if the cost is less than or equal to the threshold authorization is given and if the cost is greater than the threshold authorization is denied (claim 29); and

The threshold represents an amount selected from a group consisting of funds on deposit for the account holder, credit available to the account holder, and a value set via the obtained restriction instructions (claim 30).

The Sandber-Diment patent teaches:

The authorizing completion of the transaction includes comparing a cost of the selected items to a threshold such that if the cost is less than or equal to the threshold authorization is given and if the cost is greater than the threshold authorization is denied (col. 3, lines 55-67) (claim 29); and

The threshold represents an amount selected from a group consisting of funds on deposit for the account holder, credit available to the account holder, and a value set via the obtained restriction instructions (col. 3, lines 55-67) (claim 30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Shavit patent with the teachings of the Sandber-Diment patent so as to reduce improper payments and provide for a more secure system.

Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Shavit patent, as stated above, in view of the Weiss patent (US 5,657,388).

The Shavit patent discloses all the element of the claimed invention except for:

Application/Control Number: 09/488,297 Page 7

Art Unit: 3624

The step of authenticating including synchronizing a token with a periodically changing non-predictable code, providing the account holder with the token, the token displaying the periodically changing non-predictable code, receiving a code communicated by the buyer, and comparing the received code with the periodically changing non-predictable code to authenticate the buyer as the account holder when the received code matches the periodically changing non-predictable code (claim 35).

The Weiss patent teaches:

The step of authenticating including synchronizing a token with a periodically changing non-predictable code (col. 1, line 58 through col. 2, line 17), providing the account holder with the token (), the token displaying the periodically changing non-predictable code (col. 1, line 58 through col. 2, line 17), receiving a code communicated by the buyer (col. 1, line 58 through col. 2, line 17), and comparing the received code with the periodically changing non-predictable code to authenticate the buyer as the account holder when the received code matches the periodically changing non-predictable code (col. 1, line 58 through col. 2, line 17) (claim 35).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Shavit system to include the Weiss teachings so as to make the overall system more secure and therefor less prone to fraud and deceit.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Ross patent discloses a system having a mail or delivery system therein. The British Airways application discloses an electronic transaction recording device.

Art Unit: 3624

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Snapp whose telephone number is 703-305-6940. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SS

SANDRA S. SNAPP PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 3600